	UNITED S	STATES DISTR	ICT COURT	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
		District of	NEB	DISTRICT OF NEERASKA
	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA			2005 OCT 12 PM 3: 09
	V.	ORDI	ER OF DETENTIO	N PENDING TRIAL OFFICE OF THE CLERK
	RYAN T. BIRDINE	Case Num	ber: 8:05CR329	OFFICE OF THE OLLIN
Υ	Defendant ccordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. §	2142(A) a detention bearing I	ena koon hald. I ganaluda f	hat the following feets require the
	n of the defendant pending trial in this case.	3142(1), a detention nearing i	ias been field. I conclude t	nat the following tacts require the
		Part I—Findings of Fac	et	
(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense descrior local offense that would have been a federal of a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. an offense for which the maximum sentence an offense for which a maximum term of im	offense if a circumstance givin § 3156(a)(4). e is life imprisonment or death	g rise to federal jurisdiction	a
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		*
(3)	a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses. The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense. A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction release of the defendant from imprisonment for the offense described in finding (1). Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption. Alternative Findings (A)			
(1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense				
L (-)	for which a maximum term of imprisonmen			,
<u> </u>	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.			
Alternative Findings (B)				
(1) (2)	 There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear. There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community. 			
	Part II—We not that the credible testimony and information sulport the evidence that	bmitted at the hearing establis		nvincing evidence \(\sigma\) a prepon-
				<u> </u>
	Dout I	II Directions Describe	Detention	
to the e reasona Governi	defendant is committed to the custody of the Attor extent practicable, from persons awaiting or servi- ble opportunity for private consultation with defi- ment, the person in charge of the corrections facil ection with a court proceeding.	ing sentences or being held in ense counsel. On order of a co	representative for confinem a custody pending appeal. court of the United States of the United States marsh	The defendant shall be afforded a or on request of an attorney for the
Date Signature of Judicial Officer David L. Piester, U.S. Magistrate Judge				
			L. Piester, U.S. Magistrate ne and Title of Judicial Office	

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).